Relaxed separation logic

Viktor Vafeiadis Chinmay Narayan MPI-SWS IIT Delhi

Goal: Understand concurrent programs.



Tool: Concurrent program logics:

- Concurrent Separation Logic
- OG, RG, RGSep, LRG, DG, CAP, CaReSL...

* * * What about weak memory models? * * *

All *sane* memory models satisfy the DRF property:

Theorem (DRF-property)

If $\llbracket Prg \rrbracket_{SC}$ contains no data races, then $\llbracket Prg \rrbracket_{Relaxed} = \llbracket Prg \rrbracket_{SC}$.

- Program logics that disallow data races are trivially sound.
- What about *racy* programs?

Two types of locations: ordinary and atomic

• Races on ordinary accesses \rightsquigarrow undefined

Several kinds of atomic accesses:

- Sequentially consistent (reads & writes)
- Release (writes)
- Acquire (reads)
- Relaxed (reads & writes)
- A few more advanced constructs:
 - Fences, consume reads, ... (ignored here)

Execution = set of events & a few relations:

- sb: sequenced before
- rf: reads-from map
- mo: memory order per location
- sc: seq.consistency order
- sw: synchronizes with (derived) W-release \xrightarrow{rf} R-acq \implies W-release \xrightarrow{sw} R-acq
- hb: happens before (derived, $hb \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (sb \cup sw)^+$)

Axioms constraining the *consistent* executions.

Message passing example



Separation logic recap

$$\llbracket \ell \mapsto v \rrbracket \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ h \mid h(\ell) = v \}$$
$$\llbracket P_1 * P_2 \rrbracket \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ h_1 \uplus h_2 \mid h_1 \in \llbracket P_1 \rrbracket \land h_2 \in \llbracket P_2 \rrbracket \}$$

Proof rules:

$$\{\ell \mapsto -\} \ [\ell] := v \ \{\ell \mapsto v\} \qquad (WRI)$$
$$\frac{\{P\} \ C \ \{Q\}}{\{P * R\} \ C \ \{Q * R\}} \qquad (FRM)$$
$$\frac{\{P_1\} \ C_1 \ \{Q_1\} \ \ \{P_2\} \ C_2 \ \{Q_2\}}{\{P_1 * P_2\} \ C_1 \| C_2 \ \{Q_1 * Q_2\}} \qquad (PAR)$$

Read-acquire & write-release permissions (1/2)

• Introduce two assertion forms:

$$\mathsf{P} := \ldots \mid \ell \stackrel{\mathrm{rel}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q} \mid \ell \stackrel{\mathrm{acq}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q}$$

where $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathsf{Val} \to \mathsf{Assn.}$

• Initially (simplified rule):

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}(v) = \mathsf{emp}}{\{\mathsf{emp}\} \ x := \mathsf{alloc}_{\mathrm{atom}}(v) \ \{x \stackrel{\mathrm{rel}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q} * x \stackrel{\mathrm{acq}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q}\}}$$

Read-acquire & write-release permissions (2/2)

• Release writes:

$$\{\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{v}) st \ell \stackrel{\mathrm{rel}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q}\} \ [\ell]_{\mathrm{rel}} := \mathbf{v} \ \{\ell \stackrel{\mathrm{rel}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q}\}$$

• Acquire reads:

 $\{\ell \stackrel{\text{acq}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q}\} \ x := [\ell]_{\text{acq}} \ \{\mathcal{Q}(x) * \ell \stackrel{\text{acq}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q}[x:=\text{emp}]\}$ where $\mathcal{Q}[x:=P] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda y$. if x=y then P else $\mathcal{Q}(y)$.

• Splitting permissions:

$$\ell \stackrel{\mathrm{rel}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q} * \ell \stackrel{\mathrm{rel}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q} \iff \ell \stackrel{\mathrm{rel}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q} \ l \stackrel{\mathrm{acq}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q}_1 * \ell \stackrel{\mathrm{acq}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q}_2 \iff \ell \stackrel{\mathrm{acq}}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Q}_1 * \mathcal{Q}_2)$$

Simple ownership transfer example

Let
$$Q := \{(0, emp), (1, a \leftrightarrow 2)\}.$$

 $\{emp\}$
 $a := alloc_{na}(0); x := alloc_{atom}(0);$
 $\{a \leftrightarrow 0 * x \stackrel{rel}{\rightarrow} Q * x \stackrel{acq}{\rightarrow} Q\}$
 $\{a \leftrightarrow 0 * x \stackrel{rel}{\rightarrow} Q\}$
 $[a]_{na} := 2;$
 $\{a \leftrightarrow 2 * x \stackrel{rel}{\rightarrow} Q\}$
 $[x]_{rel} := 1;$
 $\{true\}$
 $\{r = 0 * x \stackrel{acq}{\rightarrow} Q \lor$
 $r = 1 * a \leftrightarrow 2$
 $\{r = 0 * x \stackrel{acq}{\rightarrow} Q \lor$
 $r = 1 * a \leftrightarrow 2$
 $\{r = 1 * a \leftrightarrow 2\}$
 $\{r = 2 * a \leftrightarrow 2\}$
 $\{r = 2 * a \leftrightarrow 2\}$

Relaxed separation logic

Basically, disallow ownership transfer.

• Relaxed reads:

$$\{\ell \stackrel{\mathrm{acq}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q}\} \ x := [\ell]_{\mathrm{rlx}} \ \{\ell \stackrel{\mathrm{acq}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q} \land (\mathcal{Q}(x) \neq \mathsf{false})\}$$

• Relaxed writes:

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathsf{emp}}{\{\ell \stackrel{\mathrm{rel}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q}\} \ [\ell]_{\mathrm{rlx}} := \mathbf{v} \ \{\ell \stackrel{\mathrm{rel}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q}\}}$$

• Unsound in C11 because of dependency cycles.

Dependency cycles

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{let } \textbf{\textit{a}} = \textbf{alloc}_{atom}(0) \textbf{ in} \\ \textbf{let } \textbf{\textit{b}} = \textbf{alloc}_{atom}(0) \textbf{ in} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \textbf{if } 1 = [\textbf{\textit{a}}]_{rlx} \textbf{ then} \\ [\textbf{\textit{b}}]_{rlx} := 1 \end{pmatrix} & \left\| \begin{array}{c} \textbf{(if } 1 = [\textbf{\textit{b}}]_{rlx} \textbf{ then} \\ [\textbf{\textit{a}}]_{rlx} := 1 \end{array} \right) \end{array}$$

A problematic consistent execution:

[Initialization actions not shown]

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{R}_{\mathrm{rlx}}(\boldsymbol{a},1) & \operatorname{R}_{\mathrm{rlx}}(\boldsymbol{b},1) \\ \downarrow_{\mathrm{sb}} & \overbrace{f}^{\mathsf{rf}} \in \left[\begin{array}{c} \swarrow \\ \downarrow_{\mathrm{sb}} \\ \end{array} \right] \\ \operatorname{W}_{\mathrm{rlx}}(\boldsymbol{b},1) & \operatorname{W}_{\mathrm{rlx}}(\boldsymbol{a},1) \end{array}$$

[Crude fix: Require $hb \cup rf$ to be acyclic.]

Compare and swap (CAS)

- New assertion form, $P := \ldots \mid \ell \stackrel{\text{macq}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q}.$
- Duplicable, $\ell \stackrel{macq}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q} \iff \ell \stackrel{macq}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q} * \ell \stackrel{macq}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q}.$
- Proof rule for CAS:

$$P \Rightarrow \ell \stackrel{\text{macq}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Q} * \text{true}$$

$$P * \mathcal{Q}(v) \Rightarrow \ell \stackrel{\text{rel}}{\to} \mathcal{Q}' * \mathcal{Q}'(v') * R[v/z]$$

$$X \in \{\text{rel}, \text{rlx}\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(v) = \text{emp}$$

$$X \in \{\text{acq}, \text{rlx}\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}'(v') = \text{emp}$$

$$\frac{\{P\} \ z := [\ell]_Y \ \{z \neq v \Rightarrow R\}}{\{P\} \ z := CAS_{X,Y}(\ell, v, v') \ \{R\}}$$

Mutual exclusion locks

Let
$$Q_J(v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (v = 0 \land \text{emp}) \lor (v = 1 \land J)$$

 $Lock(x, J) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x \stackrel{\text{rel}}{\to} Q_J * x \stackrel{\text{macq}}{\to} Q_J$
 $new-lock() \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{J\}$
 $res := alloc_{atom}(1)$
 $\{Lock(res, J)\}$
 $unlock(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{Lock(x, J)\}$
 $[x]_{rel} := 1$
 $\{Lock(x, J)\}$
 $[x]_{rel} := 1$
 $\{Lock(x, J)\}$

Technical challenges

- Assertions in heaps
 - \implies Store syntactic assertions (modulo *-ACI)
- No (global) notions of state and time
 - \implies Define a *logical* local notion of state
 - \implies Annotate hb edges with logical state
- No operational semantics
 - \implies Use the axiomatic semantics
 - \implies Induct over max hb-path distance from top



Possible extensions / future work

- Take more advanced program logics (rely-guarantee, RGSep, deny-guarantee, ...) and adapt them to C11 concurrency
- Handle the more advanced C11 constructs: consume atomics & fences
- Build a tool & verify real programs

