

Homework for Module 4

Instructor: Deepak Garg
 dg@mpi-sws.org

TA: Iulia Bologteanu
 iulia.mb@mpi-sws.org

Release date: 02.02.2015

Due date: 14.02.2015

General instructions: Attempt all questions. Submit your homework via email to both the instructor and the TA before midnight on the due date. The L^AT_EX source for this homework will be provided to help you typeset. You can also typeset using any other means, including simple ASCII.

Homework instructions: This homework is divided into two sections. Section 1 tests your understanding of programming language semantics, typing and type-safety, as taught in class. Section 2 tests your understanding of the type system for information flow from the paper of Volpano, Smith and Irvine.

1 Operational Semantics and Types

For this section, refer to the operational semantics in Appendix A and the typing rules in Appendix B. Appendix C is **not** to be referred for this section.

Problem 4-1 (5 points)

Expression determinism lemma. A key lemma in the proof of type-safety that we discussed in class is determinism of expression evaluation. Prove that lemma here: If $\mu, e \Downarrow v_1$ and $\mu, e \Downarrow v_2$ then $v_1 = v_2$.

State clearly what you are inducting on. Independent of what you induct on, you only need to write the induction cases corresponding to the following forms of e : v , l , and $e_1 + e_2$.

Problem 4-2 (5 points)

Progress theorem. The progress theorem says that a well-typed program that is not `skip` must always reduce further. Formally, if $\lambda \vdash p$ and $\lambda \vdash \mu$ and $p \neq \text{skip}$, then there exist μ', p' such that $\mu, p \rightarrow \mu', p'$.

The proof proceeds by induction on the derivation of $\lambda \vdash p$. In class, we covered all cases of the proof except $p = \text{while } e \text{ do } p'$. Show that case of the proof.

Problem 4-3 (5 points)

Type-safety. Consider the program $p = (\ell := \text{true}; \text{if } \ell \text{ then } \ell := \text{false} \text{ else } \ell := 1)$. Assuming the typing context is $\lambda = \{\ell : \text{int}\}$, answer the following questions:

1. Is p well-typed, i.e., is $\lambda \vdash p$ provable? Justify your answer.
2. Is p unsafe, i.e., can p reach a stuck (bad) state by reduction starting from any memory μ ? (Recall that a program is bad/stuck when it is not `skip` and no reduction is possible.)

2 Types for information flow control

For this section, refer to the operational semantics in Appendix A and the typing rules in Appendix C. Appendix B is **not** to be referred for this section.

Problem 4-4 (10 points)

Lattices. The following exercise is designed to help you better understand the structure of lattices.

Definition 1. (*Complete lattice*) A partially ordered set (S, \sqsubseteq) is called a complete lattice if every subset M of S has a least upper bound and a greatest lower bound in (S, \sqsubseteq) .

The least upper bound (also called lub or join) of two elements $a, b \in L$ is written $a \sqcup b$. The greatest lower bound (glb or meet) of two elements $a, b \in L$ is written $a \sqcap b$.

1. On natural numbers other than 0, consider the following order: $a \sqsubseteq b$ if and only if a divides b , i.e., $b \bmod a = 0$. For each of the following sets S , is (S, \sqsubseteq) a complete lattice? Justify your answers.
 - (a) $S = \mathbb{N} \setminus 0$ (all positive natural numbers).
 - (b) $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 12, 24, 36, 48\}$.
 - (c) $S = \{k \mid k \text{ divides } n\}$ where n is a fixed integer.
 - (d) $S = \{k \mid n \text{ divides } k\}$ where n is a fixed integer.
2. Consider the lattice defined in 1(b) above. Calculate the following joins and meets: $2 \sqcup 3$, $12 \sqcup 24$, $2 \sqcap 3$, $24 \sqcup 36$, $48 \sqcap 36$, $12 \sqcap 3$.

Problem 4-5 (7 points)

Information flow. Let λ be a typing context — an assignment of lattice elements to memory locations. Recall that a program p is non-interfering (secure in the sense that it does not have any bad information flows) if the following hold for all $\tau, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu'_1, \mu'_2$: If for all l such that $\lambda(l) \sqsubseteq \tau$, $\mu_1(l) = \mu_2(l)$ and, additionally, $\mu_1, p \Rightarrow \mu'_1$ and $\mu_2, p \Rightarrow \mu'_2$, then for all l such that $\lambda(l) \sqsubseteq \tau$, $\mu'_1(l) = \mu'_2(l)$.

1. Let the lattice be $L \sqsubseteq H$ and let $\lambda = \{x : L, y : H, z : H\}$. Consider the following program:

```

if (y = 1) then {
  x := 1;
}
else {
  z := y + 1;
}
x := 1;

```

- a. Assuming the initial memory is $\mu = \{x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 1, z \mapsto 2\}$, what is the final memory μ' after the execution of the program?

- b. Using the type system of Appendix C, derive a type of the form τ cmd for the program. If no type can be derived, explain where the derivation fails and why the program cannot be typed.
- c. Is this program non-interfering? Justify your answer.
2. Let the lattice be $\mathcal{L} = \{L \sqsubseteq M_1, L \sqsubseteq M_2, M_1 \sqsubseteq H, M_2 \sqsubseteq H\}$ and let $\lambda = \{a : L, b : M_1, c : M_2, d : H\}$. Consider the following program:

```

while (a > 0) do {
  b := b + a;
  a := a - 1;
}
if (b + 2 == 0) then
  d := d + b;
else
  d := d + c;

```

- a. Using the type system of Appendix C, derive a type of the form τ cmd for the program. If no type can be derived, explain where the derivation fails and why the program cannot be typed.
- b. Is this program non-interfering? Justify your answer.

A Syntax and Operational Semantics

Syntax:

Values	$v ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \dots \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false}$
Expressions	$e ::= v \mid \ell \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid e_1 - e_2 \mid e_1 == e_2 \mid e_1 > e_2$
Commands	$p ::= \text{skip} \mid \ell := e \mid p_1; p_2 \mid \text{if } (e) \text{ then } p_1 \text{ else } p_2 \mid \text{while } (e) \text{ do } p$
Memory	$\mu ::= \ell_1 \mapsto v_1, \dots, \ell_n \mapsto v_n$

Semantic rules for expressions:

Note: For any connective o in $\{+, -, ==, >\}$, \hat{o} denotes the underlying arithmetic operator.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\mu, v \Downarrow v} \quad \frac{}{\mu, \ell \Downarrow \mu(\ell)} \quad \frac{\mu, e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad \mu, e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1 \hat{+} v_2 = v}{\mu, e_1 + e_2 \Downarrow \mu, v} \\
\frac{\mu, e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad \mu, e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1 \hat{-} v_2 = v}{\mu, e_1 - e_2 \Downarrow \mu, v} \quad \frac{\mu, e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad \mu, e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad (v_1 \hat{=} v_2) = v}{\mu, e_1 == e_2 \Downarrow \mu, v} \\
\frac{\mu, e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad \mu, e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad (v_1 \hat{>} v_2) = v}{\mu, e_1 > e_2 \Downarrow \mu, v}
\end{array}$$

Small-step or reduction semantics for commands:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\mu, e \Downarrow v}{\mu, \ell := e \rightarrow \mu[\ell \mapsto v], \text{skip}} \quad \frac{\mu, p_1 \rightarrow \mu', p'_1}{\mu, p_1; p_2 \rightarrow \mu', p'_1; p_2} \quad \frac{}{\mu, (\text{skip}; p) \rightarrow \mu, p} \\
\\
\frac{\mu, e \Downarrow \text{true}}{\mu, \text{if } (e) \text{ then } p_1 \text{ else } p_2 \rightarrow \mu, p_1} \quad \frac{\mu, e \Downarrow \text{false}}{\mu, \text{if } (e) \text{ then } p_1 \text{ else } p_2 \rightarrow \mu, p_2} \\
\\
\frac{\mu, e \Downarrow \text{true}}{\mu, \text{while } (e) \text{ do } p \rightarrow \mu, p; \text{while } (e) \text{ do } p} \quad \frac{\mu, e \Downarrow \text{false}}{\mu, \text{while } (e) \text{ do } p \rightarrow \mu, \text{skip}}
\end{array}$$

Big-step semantics for commands:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\mu, \text{skip} \Rightarrow \text{skip}} \quad \frac{\mu, e \Downarrow v}{\mu, \ell := e \Rightarrow \mu[\ell \mapsto v]} \quad \frac{\mu, p_1 \Rightarrow \mu' \quad \mu', p_2 \Rightarrow \mu''}{\mu, (p_1; p_2) \Rightarrow \mu''} \\
\\
\frac{\mu, e \Downarrow \text{true} \quad \mu, p_1 \Rightarrow \mu'}{\mu, \text{if } e \text{ then } p_1 \text{ else } p_2 \Rightarrow \mu'} \quad \frac{\mu, e \Downarrow \text{false} \quad \mu, p_2 \Rightarrow \mu'}{\mu, \text{if } e \text{ then } p_1 \text{ else } p_2 \Rightarrow \mu'} \\
\\
\frac{\mu, e \Downarrow \text{true} \quad \mu, (p; \text{while } e \text{ do } p) \Rightarrow \mu'}{\mu, \text{while } e \text{ do } p \Rightarrow \mu'} \quad \frac{\mu, e \Downarrow \text{false}}{\mu, \text{while } e \text{ do } p \Rightarrow \mu}
\end{array}$$

B Typing rules

$$\begin{array}{l}
\text{Types} \quad \tau ::= \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \\
\text{Type context} \quad \lambda ::= \ell_1 : \tau_1, \dots, \ell_n : \tau_n
\end{array}$$

Typing rules for expressions:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}}{\lambda \vdash n : \text{int}} \quad \frac{b \in \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}}{\lambda \vdash b : \text{bool}} \quad \frac{\ell : \tau \in \lambda}{\lambda \vdash \ell : \tau} \quad \frac{\lambda \vdash e_1 : \text{int} \quad \lambda \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{\lambda \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{int}} \\
\\
\frac{\lambda \vdash e_1 : \text{int} \quad \lambda \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{\lambda \vdash e_1 - e_2 : \text{int}} \quad \frac{\lambda \vdash e_1 : \text{int} \quad \lambda \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{\lambda \vdash e_1 == e_2 : \text{bool}} \\
\\
\frac{\lambda \vdash e_1 : \text{int} \quad \lambda \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{\lambda \vdash e_1 > e_2 : \text{bool}}
\end{array}$$

Typing rules for commands:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\lambda \vdash \text{skip}} \quad \frac{\ell : \tau \in \lambda \quad \lambda \vdash e : \tau}{\lambda \vdash \ell := e} \quad \frac{\lambda \vdash p_1 \quad \lambda \vdash p_2}{\lambda \vdash p_1; p_2} \\
\\
\frac{\lambda \vdash e : \text{bool} \quad \lambda \vdash p_1 \quad \lambda \vdash p_2}{\lambda \vdash \text{if } e \text{ then } p_1 \text{ else } p_2} \quad \frac{\lambda \vdash e : \text{bool} \quad \lambda \vdash p}{\lambda \vdash \text{while } e \text{ do } p}
\end{array}$$

Typing rule for memories:

$$\frac{\lambda \vdash v_1 : \lambda(\ell_1) \quad \dots \quad \lambda \vdash v_n : \lambda(\ell_n)}{\lambda \vdash \ell_1 \mapsto v_1, \dots, \ell_n \mapsto v_n}$$

C Types for Information Flow Control

For information flow control, types τ are elements of a lattice (S, \sqsubseteq) . A type context λ assigns types to memory locations. This is written $\lambda ::= \ell_1 : \tau_1, \dots, \ell_n : \tau_n$.

Typing rules for expressions:

$$\frac{}{\lambda \vdash v : \tau} \quad \frac{\ell : \tau \in \lambda}{\lambda \vdash \ell : \tau} \quad \frac{\lambda \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \lambda \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \quad \circ \in \{+, -, ==, >\}}{\lambda \vdash e_1 \circ e_2 : \tau_1 \sqcup \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\lambda \vdash e : \tau \quad \tau \sqsubseteq \tau'}{\lambda \vdash e : \tau'}$$

Typing rules for commands:

$$\frac{}{\lambda \vdash \text{skip} : \tau \text{ cmd}} \quad \frac{\ell : \tau \in \lambda \quad \lambda \vdash e : \tau}{\lambda \vdash \ell := e : \tau \text{ cmd}} \quad \frac{\lambda \vdash p_1 : \tau \text{ cmd} \quad \lambda \vdash p_2 : \tau \text{ cmd}}{\lambda \vdash p_1; p_2 : \tau \text{ cmd}}$$

$$\frac{\lambda \vdash e : \tau \quad \lambda \vdash p_1 : \tau \text{ cmd} \quad \lambda \vdash p_2 : \tau \text{ cmd}}{\lambda \vdash \text{if } e \text{ then } p_1 \text{ else } p_2 : \tau \text{ cmd}} \quad \frac{\lambda \vdash e : \tau \quad \lambda \vdash p : \tau \text{ cmd}}{\lambda \vdash \text{while } e \text{ do } p : \tau \text{ cmd}}$$

$$\frac{\lambda \vdash p : \tau \text{ cmd} \quad \tau' \sqsubseteq \tau}{\lambda \vdash p : \tau' \text{ cmd}}$$